

Questions on Pump STATION

1. Do we have contract with Scott on price for his land?

We are in the process of purchasing the property outright for 50k, that will be split equally per the cost sharing agreement between the 3 beach associations. A purchase and sales agreement has been drafted and is in the reviewing process prior for signatures.

2. Does it state, cost is for all partners. MBA, OLS, OCB and does it now include Town of Old Lyme (Sound View) or is it for MBA only and is it in writing.

It will be purchased per the Cost Sharing Agreement (CSA). The CSA, currently, are the three beach associations. When the town joins the CSA it will cost them a percentage per their EDU and that will be credited back to the current three beach associations.

3. We have been told how many times how we are going to save a lot of money with Scott's Property as the location.

We will save 150k over the draft lease that the town wanted to charge us 200k. In addition, we would never own that land and the town could up that lease to use that lot at the end of the lease period.

4. Please explain to all, if the pump station was put on SV parking lot and was going to cost \$200K spread out over 20 years with 0 % interest, a pump station that would have had public toilets in the design and was voted by all residents.

The draft lease with the Town was for 200k over 20 years at 2%. No toilets were to be designed by us or in the agreement. The town was entertaining the idea of providing bathrooms, but that was shut down once they were told it would cost them money outside their grant and the State did not want to include that in the 25% clean water funding either.

5. MBA, OCB, OLS and SV. The lease agreement wasn't Clear whether Sound View was included in the cost, if it was, our costs would have been projected to be \$50K each or if they weren't, \$66.7 divided between MBA, OLS and OCB.

Answered above. Cost is shared by all based on a percentage per EDU.

6. Now that the Town of Old Lyme has agreed to join us as equal partners with all paying the same, at time of agreement.

Per the CSA all members are sharing a percentage per EDU of each association....(basically the pump station to East Lyme) Once the town fully joins our cost sharing agreement we will receive a proportional share of money from the town for our effort and money spent so far.

7. They will be paying \$66K ***\$16K over estimated \$50K and we still have to pay for Scott's property which he himself stated would be around \$50K.

That 66k is for the towns share of the money we have spent so far on the CSA. Once the town fully joins our CSA, they will pay us back any expenditures as well...including the 50k expenditure for the lot.

8. Seems to me, only one saving money is Scott.

Not true. Scott will pay his EDU cost as well.

9. Comment. How did we allow 2 other beach associations to dictate where the pump station would be without our membership having a say?

We did not allow the 2 other beaches to dictate the location. History on this, a gravity system dictated the MBA parking lot would have been the best choice. That did not get approved by MBA. Then, as you are aware, we tried to lease the town lot...much money and time was spent on a lease and as time became an issue we started to look elsewhere. We found a lot in OLSBA and I offered my lot. All decisions were bulleted by the engineers and the three beach associations per the CSA.

Questions on SEWER PROJECT

1. With the MB water company ownership in flux, is this project going to start around December as planned?

The project will start once we fully submit the required permit, easements, and draft design to the state to approve for bidding. This now looks to be around the first of the year with construction to start late winter.

2. If we're planning on starting it as planned, wouldn't it be more cost effective to wait until water company ownership is finalized so they could install new water lines along with sewer and storm water pipes.

Not necessarily. At this present moment, construction costs are still low.

3. With this pandemic, people are losing their jobs and the Country is in the verge of a depression, wouldn't it be smart to delay this project to until the dust has settled? We waited this long and I'm sure the state of CT wouldn't want all the bad press on how they forced people to sell their homes at a lower value. OLS and OCB were delayed so we could join in.

We voted to get this done and as stated the costs are still lower. It is difficult to predict the future.

4. Makes sense to do it the right way for all and get everyone on same page including HAWKS NEST...with 5 beaches areas getting sewers together, we should be able to get a better price than separate.

We are still hopeful DPH and PURA will come through...if not, the sad truth is it could take years if not longer to finalize a plan.